

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

SCIENCE @ DIRECT®

J. Math. Anal. Appl. 318 (2006) 102–111

Journal of
MATHEMATICAL
ANALYSIS AND
APPLICATIONS

www.elsevier.com/locate/jmaa

On the composition of the distributions x_+^λ and x_+^μ

Brian Fisher^{a,*}, Kenan Taş^b^a Department of Mathematics, University of Leicester, Leicester, LE1 7RH, England, UK^b Çankaya University, Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Ankara, Turkey

Received 21 February 2005

Available online 15 June 2005

Submitted by H.M. Srivastava

Abstract

Let F be a distribution and let f be a locally summable function. The distribution $F(f)$ is defined as the neutrix limit of the sequence $\{F_n(f)\}$, where $F_n(x) = F(x) * \delta_n(x)$ and $\{\delta_n(x)\}$ is a certain sequence of infinitely differentiable functions converging to the Dirac delta-function $\delta(x)$. The distributions $(x_+^\mu)_+^\lambda$ and $((x_+^\mu)_+^\lambda)_+^\mu$ are considered for $\lambda < 0$, $\mu > 0$ and $\lambda, \lambda\mu \neq -1, -2, \dots$.
© 2005 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Distribution; Delta function; Composition of distributions; Neutrix; Neutrix limit

1. Introduction

In the following we let \mathcal{D} be the space of infinitely differentiable functions with compact support, $\mathcal{D}[a, b]$ be the space of infinitely differentiable functions with support contained in the interval $[a, b]$ and let \mathcal{D}' be the space of distributions defined on \mathcal{D} .

We define the locally summable functions x_+^λ and x_-^λ for $\lambda > -1$ by

$$x_+^\lambda = \begin{cases} x^\lambda, & x > 0, \\ 0, & x < 0, \end{cases} \quad x_-^\lambda = \begin{cases} |x|^\lambda, & x < 0, \\ 0, & x > 0. \end{cases}$$

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: fbr@le.ac.uk (B. Fisher), kenan@cankaya.edu.tr (K. Taş).

The distributions x_+^λ and x_-^λ are then defined inductively for $\lambda < -1$ and $\lambda \neq -2, -3, \dots$ by

$$(x_+^\lambda)' = \lambda x_+^{\lambda-1}, \quad (x_-^\lambda)' = -\lambda x_-^{\lambda-1}.$$

It follows that if r is a positive integer and $-r-1 < \lambda < -r$, then

$$\langle x_+^\lambda, \varphi(x) \rangle = \int_0^\infty x^\lambda \left[\varphi(x) - \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \frac{\varphi^{(k)}(0)}{k!} x^k \right] dx,$$

$$\langle x_-^\lambda, \varphi(x) \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^0 |x|^\lambda \left[\varphi(x) - \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \frac{\varphi^{(k)}(0)}{k!} x^k \right] dx$$

for arbitrary φ in \mathcal{D} . The distribution $|x|^\lambda$ is then defined by

$$|x|^\lambda = x_+^\lambda + x_-^\lambda.$$

In particular, if φ has its support contained in the interval $[-1, 1]$, then

$$\langle x_+^\lambda, \varphi(x) \rangle = \int_0^1 x^\lambda \left[\varphi(x) - \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \frac{\varphi^{(k)}(0)}{k!} x^k \right] dx + \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \frac{\varphi^{(k)}(0)}{k!(\lambda + k + 1)} \quad (1)$$

if $-r-1 < \lambda < -r$ and

$$\langle |x|^\lambda, \varphi(x) \rangle = \int_{-1}^1 x^\lambda \left[\varphi(x) - \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \frac{\varphi^{(k)}(0)}{(2k)!} x^{2k} \right] dx + \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \frac{2\varphi^{(2k)}(0)}{(2k)!(\lambda + 2k + 1)} \quad (2)$$

if $-2r-2 < \lambda < -2r$ and $\lambda \neq -2r-1$.

We now let N be the neutrix, see [1], having domain N' the positive integers and range N'' the real numbers, with negligible functions which are finite linear sums of the functions

$$n^\lambda \ln^{r-1} n, \ln^r n: \quad n \in N, \quad r = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

and all functions which converge to zero in the usual sense as n tends to infinity.

Now let $\rho(x)$ be an infinitely differentiable function having the following properties:

- (i) $\rho(x) = 0$ for $|x| \geq 1$,
- (ii) $\rho(x) \geq 0$,
- (iii) $\rho(x) = \rho(-x)$,
- (iv) $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \rho(x) dx = 1$.

Putting $\delta_n(x) = n\rho(nx)$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$, it follows that $\{\delta_n(x)\}$ is a regular sequence of infinitely differentiable functions converging to the Dirac delta-function $\delta(x)$.

If now f is an arbitrary distribution in \mathcal{D}' , we define

$$f_n(x) = (f * \delta_n)(x) = \langle f(t), \delta_n(x-t) \rangle$$

for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. It follows that $\{f_n(x)\}$ is a regular sequence of infinitely differentiable functions converging to the distribution $f(x)$.

The following definition was given in [2].

Definition 1. Let F be a distribution and let f be a locally summable function. We say that the distribution $F(f(x))$ exists and is equal to h on the open interval (a, b) if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F_n(f(x)) \varphi(x) dx = \langle h(x), \varphi(x) \rangle$$

for all test functions φ with compact support contained in (a, b) .

The following theorems were proved in [2] and [3], respectively.

Theorem 1. The distributions $(x_-^\mu)_-^\lambda$ and $(x_+^\mu)_-^\lambda$ exist and

$$(x_-^\mu)_-^\lambda = (x_+^\mu)_-^\lambda = 0$$

for $\mu > 0$ and $\lambda\mu \neq -1, -2, \dots$ and

$$(x_-^\mu)_-^\lambda = (-1)^{\lambda\mu} (x_+^\mu)_-^\lambda = \frac{\pi \operatorname{cosec}(\pi\lambda)}{2\mu(-\lambda\mu-1)!} \delta^{(-\lambda\mu-1)}(x)$$

for $\mu > 0$, $\lambda \neq -1, -2, \dots$ and $\lambda\mu = -1, -2, \dots$

Theorem 2. The distribution $(x_+^r)_-^{-s}$ exists and

$$(x_+^r)_-^{-s} = \frac{(-1)^{rs+s} c_1(\rho)}{r(rs-1)!} \delta^{(rs-1)}(x)$$

for $r, s = 1, 2, \dots$

In the previous theorem, the distribution x_-^{-s} is defined by

$$x_-^{-s} = -\frac{(\ln x_-)^{(s-1)}}{(s-1)!}$$

for $s = 1, 2, \dots$ and not as in Gel'fand and Shilov [4]. We also define the distribution x_+^{-r} by the equation

$$x_+^{-r} = \frac{(-1)^{r-1} (\ln x_+)^{(r-1)}}{(r-1)!}$$

for $r = 1, 2, \dots$

Further, we define the distributions $x_+^{-1} \ln x_+$ and $x_-^{-1} \ln x_-$ by

$$x_+^{-1} \ln x_+ = \frac{1}{2} (\ln^2 x_+)', \quad x_-^{-1} \ln x_- = -\frac{1}{2} (\ln^2 x_-)'$$

and we define the distributions $x_+^{-r-1} \ln x_+$ and $x_-^{-r-1} \ln x_-$ by

$$x_+^{-r-1} \ln x_+ = \frac{x_+^{-r-1} - (x_+^{-r} \ln x_+)'}{r}, \quad x_-^{-r-1} \ln x_- = \frac{x_-^{-r-1} + (x_-^{-r} \ln x_-)'}{r}$$

for $r = 1, 2, \dots$

The next three theorems were proved in [5], [6] and [7], respectively.

Theorem 3. If $F_s(x)$ denotes the distribution $x^{-s} \ln |x|$, then the distribution $F_s(x^r)$ exists and

$$F_s(x^r) = r F_{rs}(x)$$

for $r, s = 1, 2, \dots$

Theorem 4. Let $F_s(x)$ denote the distribution $x_-^{-s} \ln x_-$. Then the distribution $F_{ms}(x_+^{r-p/m})$ exists and

$$\begin{aligned} F_{ms}(x_+^{r-p/m}) &= \frac{(-1)^{ms+msr+sp} \phi(ms-1) c_1(\rho)}{(r-p/m)(msr-sp-1)!} \delta^{(msr-sp-1)}(x) \\ &\quad + \frac{(-1)^{ms+msr+sp+1} [\phi_1(ms) + \phi_2(ms) - \phi^2(m) + c_2(\rho)]}{(r-p/m)(msr-sp-1)!} \delta^{(msr-sp-1)}(x) \end{aligned}$$

for $r, s = 1, 2, \dots$ and $m = 2, 3, \dots$, where

$$\phi(r) = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^r 1/i, & r \geq 1, \\ 0, & r = 0, \end{cases} \quad \phi_1(r) = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \frac{\phi(i)}{i}, & r = 2, 3, \dots, \\ 0, & r = 0, 1. \end{cases}$$

$$\phi_2(r) = \sum_{i=1}^{r+1} \frac{\phi(i)}{i}, \quad r = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

$$c_1(\rho) = \int_0^1 \ln t \rho(t) dt, \quad c_2(\rho) = \int_0^1 \ln^2 t \rho(t) dt,$$

$1 \leq p < m$ and p and m are coprime.

Theorem 5. The distribution $(x_+^r)^{-1}$ exists and

$$(x_+^r)^{-1} = (x_+^{-r} + (-1)^r \frac{2c_1(\rho) - r\phi(r-1)}{r!}) \delta^{(r-1)}(x),$$

for $r = 1, 2, \dots$

we now prove

Theorem 6. The distribution $(x_+^\mu)^\lambda$ exists and

$$(x_+^\mu)^\lambda = x_+^{\lambda\mu} \quad (3)$$

for $\lambda < 0$, $\mu > 0$ and $\lambda, \lambda\mu \neq -1, -2, \dots$

Proof. We will suppose that $-s-1 < \lambda < -s$ for some non-negative integer s . Then

$$x_+^\lambda = \frac{\Gamma(\lambda+1)}{\Gamma(\lambda+s+1)} (x_+^{\lambda+s})^{(s)}.$$

We put

$$(x_+^\lambda)_n = x_+^\lambda * \delta_n(x) = \frac{\Gamma(\lambda + 1)}{\Gamma(\lambda + s + 1)} x_+^{\lambda+s} * \delta_n^{(s)}(x)$$

and so

$$\frac{\Gamma(\lambda + s + 1)}{\Gamma(\lambda + 1)} (x_+^\lambda)_n = \begin{cases} \int_{-1/n}^{1/n} (x-t)^{\lambda+s} \delta_n^{(s)}(t) dt, & 1/n < x, \\ \int_{-1/n}^x (x-t)^{\lambda+s} \delta_n^{(s)}(t) dt, & -1/n \leq x \leq 1/n, \\ 0, & x < -1/n. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\frac{\Gamma(\lambda + s + 1)}{\Gamma(\lambda + 1)} [(x_+^\mu)_+]_n = \begin{cases} \int_{-1/n}^{1/n} (x^\mu - t)^{\lambda+s} \delta_n^{(s)}(t) dt, & 1/n < x^\mu \\ \int_{-1/n}^{x^\mu} (x^\mu - t)^{\lambda+s} \delta_n^{(s)}(t) dt, & 0 < x^\mu \leq 1/n \\ \int_{-1/n}^0 (-t)^{\lambda+s} \delta_n^{(s)}(t) dt, & x < 0. \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\Gamma(\lambda + s + 1)}{\Gamma(\lambda + 1)} \int_{-1}^1 x^k [(x_+^\mu)_+]_n dx \\ &= \int_0^{n^{-1/\mu}} x^k \int_{-1/n}^{x^\mu} (x^\mu - t)^{\lambda+s} \delta_n^{(s)}(t) dt dx + \int_{n^{-1/\mu}}^1 x^k \int_{-1/n}^{1/n} (x^\mu - t)^{\lambda+s} \delta_n^{(s)}(t) dt dx \\ &+ \int_{-1}^0 x^k \int_{-1/n}^0 (-t)^{\lambda+s} \delta_n^{(s)}(t) dt dx \\ &= \frac{n^{-(\lambda+k+1)/\mu}}{\mu} \int_0^v v^{(k+1)/\mu-1} \int_{-1}^v (v-u)^{\lambda+s} \rho^{(s)}(u) du dv \\ &+ \frac{n^{-(\lambda+k+1)/\mu}}{\mu} \int_{-1}^1 \rho^{(s)}(u) \int_1^n v^{(k+1)/\mu-1} (v-u)^{\lambda+s} dv du \\ &+ n^{-\lambda} \int_{-1}^0 x^k \int_{-1}^0 (-u)^{\lambda+s} \rho^{(s)}(u) du dx \\ &= I_1 + I_2 + I_3, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where the substitutions $u = nt$ and $v = nx^\mu$ have been made.

It follows immediately that

$$N\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_1 = N\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_3 = 0 \quad (6)$$

for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

Further,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_1^n v^{(k+1)/\mu-1} (v-u)^{\lambda+s} dv &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \binom{\lambda+s}{i} (-u)^i \int_1^n v^{(k+1)/\mu+\lambda+s-i-1} dv \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \binom{\lambda+s}{i} (-u)^i \frac{n^{(k+1)/\mu+\lambda+s-i} - 1}{(k+1)/\mu + \lambda + s - i} \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} N\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_2 &= \frac{1}{\lambda\mu + k + 1} \binom{\lambda+s}{s} \int_{-1}^1 (-u)^s \rho^{(s)}(u) du \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\lambda + s + 1)}{(\lambda\mu + k + 1)\Gamma(\lambda + 1)} \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

It now follows from Eqs. (5)–(7) that

$$N\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-1}^1 x^k [(x_+^\mu)_+]_n dx = (\lambda\mu + k + 1) \quad (8)$$

for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

We now consider the case $k = r$, where r is chosen so that $0 < \lambda\mu + r + 1 < 1$, and let ψ be an arbitrary continuous function. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\Gamma(\lambda + s + 1)}{\Gamma(\lambda + 1)} \int_0^{n^{-1/\mu}} x^r \psi(x) [(x_+^\mu)_+]_n dx \\ &= \frac{n^{-(\lambda\mu+r+1)/\mu}}{\Gamma(\lambda + 1)} \int_0^1 v^{(r+1)/\mu-1} \int_{-1}^v (v-u)^{\lambda+s} \rho^{(s)}(u) du dv \end{aligned}$$

and it follows that

$$N\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{n^{-1/\mu}} x^r \psi(x) [(x_+^\mu)_+]_n dx = 0. \quad (9)$$

When $x \leq 0$, we have

$$\frac{\Gamma(\lambda + s + 1)}{\Gamma(\lambda + 1)} \int_{-1}^0 x^r \psi(x) [(x_+^\mu)_+]_n dx = n^{-\lambda} \int_{-1}^0 x^r \psi(x) \int_{-1}^0 (-u)^{\lambda+s} \rho^{(s)}(u) du dx$$

and it follows that

$$N\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-1}^0 x^r \psi(x) [(x_+^\mu)_+]_n dx = 0. \quad (10)$$

When $x^\mu \geq 1/n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\Gamma(\lambda + s + 1)}{\Gamma(\lambda + 1)} [(x_+^\mu)_+]_n &= \int_{-1/n}^{1/n} (x^\mu - t)^{\lambda+s} \delta_n^{(s)}(t) dt \\ &= n^s \int_{-1}^1 (x^\mu - u/n)^{\lambda+s} \rho^{(s)}(u) du \\ &= n^s x^{(\lambda+s)\mu} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \binom{\lambda+s}{i} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{(-u)^i \rho^{(s)}(u)}{n^i x^\mu} du \\ &= \binom{\lambda+s}{s} x^{\lambda\mu} + O(n^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$[(x_+^\mu)_+]_n = x^{\lambda\mu} + O(n^{-1}). \quad (11)$$

Now let $\varphi(x)$ be an arbitrary function in \mathcal{T} with support contained in the interval $[-1, 1]$. By Taylor's theorem we have

$$\varphi(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \frac{x^k}{k!} \varphi^{(k)}(0) + \frac{x^r}{r!} \varphi^{(r)}(\xi x)$$

where $0 < \xi < 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle [(x_+^\mu)_+]_n, \varphi(x) \rangle &= \int_{-1}^1 [(x_+^\mu)_+]_n \varphi(x) dx \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \frac{\varphi^{(k)}(0)}{k!} \int_{-1}^1 x^k [(x_+^\mu)_+]_n dx + \int_{n^{-1/\mu}}^1 \frac{x^r}{r!} [(x_+^\mu)_+]_n \varphi^{(r)}(\xi x) dx \\ &\quad + \int_0^{n^{-1/\mu}} \frac{x^r}{r!} [(x_+^\mu)_+]_n \varphi^{(r)}(\xi x) dx + \int_{-1}^0 \frac{x^r}{r!} [(x_+^\mu)_+]_n \varphi^{(r)}(\xi x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Using Eqs. (8) to (11), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} N\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle [(x_+^\mu)_+]_n, \varphi(x) \rangle &= \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \frac{\varphi^{(k)}(0)}{(\lambda\mu + k + 1)k!} + \int_0^1 \frac{x^{\lambda\mu+r}}{r!} \varphi^{(r)}(\xi x) dx \\ &= \int_0^1 x^{\lambda\mu} \left[\varphi(x) - \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \frac{x^k}{k!} \varphi^{(k)}(0) \right] dx + \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \frac{\varphi^{(k)}(0)}{(\lambda\mu + k + 1)k!} \\ &= \langle x^{\lambda\mu}, \varphi(x) \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

on using Eq. (1). This proves Eq. (3) on the interval $[-1, 1]$. However, Eq. (3) clearly holds on any interval not containing the origin, and the proof is complete. \square

Corollary 6.1. *The distribution $(x_-^\mu)_-^\lambda$ exists and*

$$(x_-^\mu)_-^\lambda = x_-^{\lambda\mu} \quad (12)$$

for $\lambda < 0$, $\mu > 0$ and $\lambda, \lambda\mu \neq -1, -2, \dots$

Proof. Equation (12) follows on replacing x by $-x$ in Eq. (3).

Theorem 7. *The distribution $(|x|^\mu)_+^\lambda$ exists and*

$$(|x|^\mu)_+^\lambda = |x|^{\lambda\mu} \quad (13)$$

for $\lambda < 0$, $\mu > 0$ and $\lambda, \lambda\mu \neq -1, -2, \dots$

Proof. It follows from Eq. (4) that

$$\frac{\Gamma(\lambda + s + 1)}{\Gamma(\lambda + 1)} [(|x|^\mu)_+^\lambda]_n = \begin{cases} \int_{-1/n}^{1/n} (|x|^\mu - t)^{\lambda+s} \delta_n^{(s)}(t) dt, & 1/n < |x|^\mu, \\ \int_{-1/n}^{|x|^\mu} (|x|^\mu - t)^{\lambda+s} \delta_n^{(s)}(t) dt, & 0 \leq |x|^\mu \leq 1/n. \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

Since $[(|x|^\mu)_+^\lambda]_n$ is an even function, it follows that

$$\int_{-1}^1 x^k [(|x|^\mu)_+^\lambda]_n dx = \quad (15)$$

for $k = 1, 3, \dots$

If k is even we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\Gamma(\lambda + s + 1)}{\Gamma(\lambda + 1)} \int_{-1}^1 x^k [(|x|^\mu)_+^\lambda]_n dx &= \int_0^{n^{-1/\mu}} x^k \int_{-1/n}^{x^\mu} (x^\mu - t)^{\lambda+s} \delta_n^{(s)}(t) dt dx \\ &\quad + \int_{n^{-1/\mu}}^1 x^k \int_{-1/n}^{1/n} (x^\mu - t)^{\lambda+s} \delta_n^{(s)}(t) dt dx \\ &= I_1 + I_2 \end{aligned}$$

and it follows as above that

$$N\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-1}^1 x^k [(|x|^\mu)_+^\lambda]_n dx = 2(\lambda\mu + k + 1)^{-1} \quad (16)$$

for $k = 0, 2, 4, \dots$

We now consider the case $k = 2r$, where r is chosen so that $0 < \lambda\mu + r + 2 < 1$, and let ψ be an arbitrary continuous function. Then it follows as above that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{n^{-1/\mu}} x^{2r} \psi(x) [(|x|^\mu)_+^\lambda]_n dx = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-n^{-1/\mu}}^0 x^{2r} \psi(x) [(|x|^\mu)_+^\lambda]_n dx = 0 \quad (17)$$

and

$$[(|x|^\mu)_+^\lambda]_n = |x|^{\lambda\mu} + O(n^{-1}) \quad (18)$$

if $|x|^\mu \geq 1/n$.

Again let $\varphi(x)$ be an arbitrary function in \mathcal{D} with support contained in the interval $[-1, 1]$. Then

$$\varphi(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{2r-1} \frac{x^k}{(k)!} \varphi^{(k)}(0) + \frac{x^{2r}}{(2r)!} \varphi^{(2r)}(\xi x),$$

where $0 < \xi < 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle [(|x|^\mu)_+^\lambda]_n, \varphi(x) \rangle \\ &= \int_{-1}^1 [(|x|^\mu)_+^\lambda]_n \varphi(x) dx \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \frac{\varphi^{(2k+1)}(0)}{(2k+1)!} \int_{-1}^1 x^{2k-1} [(|x|^\mu)_+^\lambda]_n dx + \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \frac{\varphi^{(2k)}(0)}{(2k)!} \int_{-1}^1 x^{2k} [(|x|^\mu)_+^\lambda]_n dx \\ &\quad + \int_{-1}^1 \frac{x^{2r}}{(2r)!} [(|x|^\mu)_+^\lambda]_n \varphi^{(2r)}(\xi x) dx + \int_{-1}^{-n^{-1/\mu}} \frac{x^{2r}}{(2r)!} [(|x|^\mu)_+^\lambda]_n \varphi^{(2r)}(\xi x) dx \\ &\quad + \int_0^{n^{-1/\mu}} \frac{x^{2r}}{(2r)!} [(|x|^\mu)_+^\lambda]_n \varphi^{(2r)}(\xi x) dx + \int_{-n^{-1/\mu}}^0 \frac{x^{2r}}{(2r)!} [(|x|^\mu)_+^\lambda]_n \varphi^{(2r)}(\xi x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Using Eqs. (7) to (18), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle [(|x|^\mu)_+^\lambda]_n, \varphi(x) \rangle \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \frac{\varphi^{(2k)}(0)}{(\lambda\mu + 2k + 1)(2k)!} + \int_{-1}^1 \frac{|x|^{\lambda\mu+2r}}{(2r)!} \varphi^{(2r)}(\xi x) dx \\ &= \int_{-1}^1 |x|^{\lambda\mu} \left[\varphi(x) - \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \frac{x^{2k}}{(2k)!} \varphi^{(2k)}(0) \right] dx + \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \frac{\varphi^{(2k)}(0)}{(\lambda\mu + 2k + 1)(2k)!} \\ &= \langle |x|^{\lambda\mu}, \varphi(x) \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

on using Eq. (2). This proves Eq. (13) on the interval $[-1, 1]$. However, Eq. (13) clearly holds on any interval not containing the origin, and the proof is complete. \square

Corollary 7.1. *The distribution $(|x|^\mu)_-^\lambda$ exists and*

$$(|x|^\mu)_-^\lambda = |x|^{\lambda\mu} \quad (19)$$

for $\lambda < 0$, $\mu > 0$ and $\lambda, \lambda\mu \neq -1, -2, \dots$

Proof. Equation (19) follows on replacing x by $-x$ in Eq. (13).

References

- [1] J.G. van der Corput, Introduction to the neutrix calculus, J. Anal. Math. 7 (1959) 391–398.
- [2] B. Fisher, On defining the change of variable in distributions, Romanian Math. Collections 5 (1985) 75–86.
- [3] B. Fisher, On defining the distribution $(x_+^r)_-^{-s}$, Univ. u Novom Sadu Zb. Rad. Prirod.-Mat. Fak. Ser. Mat. 15 (1985) 119–129.
- [4] I.M. Gel'fand, G.E. Shilov, Generalized Functions, vol. 1, Academic Press, 1964.
- [5] B. Fisher, B. Jolevska-Tuneska, A. Takači, On the composition of the distributions $x^{-s} \ln |x|$ and x_+^r , Thai. J. Math. 1 (2003) 39–48.
- [6] B. Fisher, A. Kananthai, G. Sritanatana, K. Nonlaopon, The composition of the distributions $x_-^{-ms} \ln x_-$ and $x_+^{r-p/m}$, Integral Transform. Spec. Funct. 16 (2005) 13–19.
- [7] B. Fisher, J. Nicholas, Some results on the composition of distributions, Novi Sad J. Math. 32 (2002) 87–94.